SIGNAL PROCESSING METHODS FOR REMOVING THE EFFECTS OF WHOLE-BODY VIBRATION UPON SPEECH
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ABSTRACT
Humans may be exposed to whole-body vibration in environments where clear speech communications are crucial, particularly during the launch phase of space flight and in high-performance aircraft. Prior research has shown that high levels of vibration cause a decrease in speech intelligibility. However, the effects of whole-body vibration upon speech are not well understood, and no attempt has been made to restore speech distorted by whole-body vibration. In this paper, a model for speech during whole-body vibration is proposed and a method to remove its effect is described. The method presented reduces the perceptual effects of vibration, yields higher automatic speech recognition accuracy scores, and may significantly improve intelligibility. Possible applications include incorporation within spaceflight, aviation, or off-road vehicle radio-communication systems.

Index Terms— Whole-Body Vibration, Speech Intelligibility

1. INTRODUCTION
Speech production is inhibited when humans are exposed to whole-body vibration levels between 2 and 20 Hz [1]. Examples of environments where humans are exposed to these vibration levels include spacecraft, high-performance aircraft, military land vehicles, and heavy machinery such as tractors. In these contexts clear speech communications are crucial; in particular, speech intelligibility for radio communications between crew and ground control during launch phases of space flight is of crucial concern because other means of communication such as operation of manual controls are extremely difficult if not impossible. NASA standards require speech intelligibility levels to be equivalent to a 90% word identification [2], but prior research has shown that speech under whole-body vibration is at least 9% less intelligible than speech in non-vibrated conditions [3]. Even in situations where intelligibility remains high, “distortions of the speech signal will increase listening effort and fatigue, and reduce speech quality to the point where communication becomes difficult and annoying” [4].

NASA has addressed the need for developing analytic models for human vibration response in order to predict the effects on manual performance and speech production [4]. Previous studies [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] have examined the physical effects of whole-body vibration on mechanisms of the vocal production system and examined the distortion of the speech signal. These studies found that vibration levels between 2 and 20 Hz cause disruptions in airflow which in turn cause frequency and amplitude modulations in the resulting speech. However, no model for speech during whole-body vibration has been proposed, and no effort has been made to address this reduction in intelligibility. It is generally difficult or impossible to remove the vibration itself, warranting methods to improve speech intelligibility that do not involve changing the vibration environment. There has been no previous research on removing the vibration effect from the speech signal directly.

Whole-body vibration is defined by Griffin [1] as occurring “when the body is supported on a surface which is vibrating”, such as when sitting on a vibrating seat, standing on a vibrating floor, or lying on a vibrating bed. The studies addressed in this paper consider speakers positioned in the face-up recumbent (semi-supine) position affected by sinusoidal vibration in the body’s x-axis (back to chest) as shown in Figure 1. We examine sinusoidal vibration with constant fre-
quency because this estimates the vibration present in space-flight launch environments. Sinusoidal vibration levels will be characterized in this paper by frequency (Hz) and 0-peak acceleration amplitude (measured in units of earth’s gravity g). We focus on the communication channel between a speaking crew member (exposed to vibration) and a listener in a ground control scenario (not exposed to vibration). Unlike more common noise reduction problems, the goal is not to remove background artifacts, but to remove distortion from the source. This paper proposes a model for vibrated speech and presents a method to remove or reduce the effects of vibration on the speech signal to improve quality and intelligibility.

2. A MODEL FOR VIBRATED SPEECH

A study similar in setup to [9] was conducted in the Human Vibration Laboratory at NASA Ames Research Center. Speech samples consisting of sustained phonemes and sentences were gathered from 6 speakers at 4 vibration levels1. The model proposed here is motivated by analysis of this data and results from similar studies [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

The primary observed characteristics of the data are that the fundamental frequency, energy, and formant frequencies of vibrated speech vary as a function of the vibration acceleration. An example of vibrated speech with these characteristics is shown in Figure 2. Our model for vibrated speech is based upon the source-system model for speech production, where each short time frame \( s_{\hat{n}}[n] \) of a speech signal \( s[n] \) is modeled as the output of a filter with coefficients \( \alpha_{\hat{n}} = \{\alpha_{\hat{n}}(k)\}_{k=1}^{P} \) and source “excitation” \( e_{\hat{n}}[n] \). Pitch and energy variations are modeled as modulations of the excitation \( e[n] \), and formant frequency variations are modeled as modulations of the filter coefficients \( \alpha_{\hat{n}} \). Given that the vibration is sinusoidal with known constant frequency \( f_{v} \), we propose that the source excitation is amplitude modulated by the function

\[
M_{A}(t) = A \sin(2\pi f_{v}(t + k)) + B
\]

and frequency modulated by

\[
M_{F}(t) = t - \frac{D}{2\pi f_{v}} \cos(2\pi f_{v}(t + h))
\]

such that \( 0 < A < B \), \( 0 < D \leq 1 \), and \( h, k \in \left[-\frac{1}{2f_{v}}, \frac{1}{2f_{v}}\right] \).

The models for \( M_{A}(t) \) and \( M_{F}(t) \) are based upon the premise that the airflow quantity passing through the vocal tract during whole-body vibration is proportional to the acceleration acting on the body. Varying quantities of airflow passing through the vocal tract cause an effect (similar to musical vibrato) in which energy and frequency of the voice vary along with the airflow. Note that this formulation is different from the traditional AM/FM modulation in the sense that the roles of the carrier and message are reversed.

\[ 1 \text{ Hz, 0.5g; 12 Hz, 0.5g; 12 Hz, 0.7g; and 16 Hz, 0.5g} \]
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Effect of vibration on a sustained vowel [o] at 12 Hz vibration. The waveform and spectrogram of the vibrated vowel (top, upper middle) are shown, along with the frequency response of the source-system filter over time (lower middle) and the spectrogram of the excitation \( e[n] \) (bottom). Sustained vibrated phonemes sound somewhere between a very wide musical vibrato and a bleating goat.

The analog source excitation \( \xi(t) \) is decomposed as a sum of sinusoids as in [10], such that

\[
\xi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} a_{i} \sin(2\pi f_{i}t + \phi_{i})
\]

where the parameters \( a_{i}, f_{i}, \phi_{i} \) are respectively the amplitude, frequency and phase of sinusoid \( i \), and \( L \) is the number of sinusoids in the decomposition. The resulting vibrated excitation \( \tilde{e}[n] \) is given by

\[
\tilde{e}[n] = M_{A}(t_{n}) \cdot \xi(M_{F}(t_{n}))
\]

where \( t_{n} \) is a sequence of time samples. Finally, the model for vibrated speech can be written as

\[
\tilde{s}_{\hat{n}}[n] = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \tilde{a}_{\hat{n}}(k) \tilde{s}_{\hat{n}}[n - k] + \tilde{e}_{\hat{n}}[n]
\]
where $\alpha_n$ is a vector of modulated filter coefficients.

2.1. Parameter Estimation

Given an observed vibrated excitation, the parameters for the model can be estimated. The amplitude modulation parameters are chosen by fitting the observed data to the vibrated excitation model in Equation (4). However, the frequency modulated source excitation $\xi(M_s(t_n))$ is complex. We simplify $\xi(M_s(t_n))$ for this stage of parameter estimation to be a random process $w[n]$, such that for each $n$ the expected value $E(w[n]) = 0$ and $E(|w[n]|^2) = 1$. Then the vibrated excitation can be approximated by

$$\tilde{e}[n] \approx (A \sin(2\pi f_s(t_n + k)) + B) \cdot w[n]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Let $y[n]$ be an observed vibrated excitation. The parameters $A$, $B$, and $k$ are chosen to minimize the expected value of the sum of the squared distances between $|y[n]|$ and $|\tilde{e}[n]|$. If the sum is taken over $N = j\frac{2}{f_s}$ points where $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_s$ is the sampling frequency, the problem can be solved analytically. Up to a multiplicative constant, the optimal parameters are

$$A = \frac{2}{N} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |y[n]| \sin(2\pi f_s t_n) \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |y[n]| \cos(2\pi f_s t_n) \right)^2$$

$$B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |y[n]|$$

$$k = \frac{1}{2\pi f_s} \arctan \left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |y[n]| \cos(2\pi f_s t_n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |y[n]| \sin(2\pi f_s t_n)} \right)$$

The frequency modulation parameters are chosen by fitting the amplitude de-modulated signal to the frequency modulated excitation model:

$$\xi(M_f(t_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} a_i \sin(2\pi f_s M_f(t_n) + \phi_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Instead of fitting the model to the data directly, time/frequency tracks in the STFT of the excitation as described in [10] are fit to the instantaneous frequency of a sinusoid in Equation (7). The instantaneous phase of sinusoid $j$ is given by

$$\varphi_j(t_n) = 2\pi f_j t_n - \frac{Df_j}{f_v} \cos(2\pi f_s (t_n + h_j)) + \phi_j$$

and the corresponding instantaneous frequency is

$$\varphi_j'(t_n) = 2\pi f_j + 2\pi Df_j \sin(2\pi f_s (t_n + h_j))$$

For each time/frequency track $\omega_j[n]$ in the data, an initial estimate of the parameters $Df_j$, $f_j$, and $h_j$ is chosen to minimize the sum of the squared errors between $\omega_j[n]$ and the modeled instantaneous frequency $\varphi_j'(t_n)$. If the sum is taken over $N$ points as described in the amplitude modulation case, the optimization problem can again be solved analytically, giving the optimal parameters

$$D_f = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_j[n] \sin(2\pi f_s t_n)\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_j[n] \cos(2\pi f_s t_n)\right)^2}$$

$$f_j = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_j[n]$$

$$h_j = \frac{1}{2\pi f_v} \arctan \left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_j[n] \cos(2\pi f_s t_n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_j[n] \sin(2\pi f_s t_n)} \right)$$

The final parameters are chosen from the estimate $j^*$ with the smallest total error, such that $D = D_{j^*}$ and $h = h_{j^*}$.

3. REMOVING VIBRATION

The signal is preprocessed by first high-pass filtering with a cutoff at 40 Hz to remove additive mechanical noise caused by the vibrating platform. Next the speech is filtered using a typical speech pre-emphasis filter which balances the low and high frequencies. Finally, the speech is separated into evenly-spaced 6 ms frames and the frames are grouped by phoneme. The following steps are performed to remove vibration from phonemes sustained for at least one period of vibration: (1) perform frame by frame linear predictive analysis to extract the filter coefficients and excitation; (2) estimate amplitude modulation parameters from vibrated excitation and remove amplitude modulation; (3) estimate frequency modulation parameters and remove frequency modulation; (4) compute smoothed filter coefficients; (5) generate recovered speech using smoothed filter coefficients and source excitation. These steps are described in detail below.

For each time frame $\tilde{s}_n[n]$, the coefficient vector $\alpha$ and the excitation $\tilde{e}_n[n]$ are computed using linear predictive analysis. The frames $\tilde{e}_n[n]$ are combined using overlap-add to form the excitation signal $\tilde{e}[n]$.

The amplitude modulation model parameters $k$, $A$, and $B$ are computed as described in Section 2.1. Given these parameters, the amplitude modulation can be removed from the vibrated excitation, leaving only the frequency modulated source excitation:

$$\xi(M_f(t_n)) = \frac{\tilde{e}[n]}{A \sin(2\pi f_v (t_n + k)) + B}$$

The frequency modulation model parameters $D$ and $h$ are then computed from $\xi(M_f(t_n))$ as described in Section 2.1. The frequency modulation is removed by frequency modulating $\xi(M_f(t_n))$ by the function

$$\frac{1}{2\pi D \sin(2\pi f_v (t_n + h)) + 1}$$

via resampling the signal in short time intervals.

Given the recovered source excitation $\tilde{e}[n]$ and the vibrated coefficients $\alpha(n)$ from the original short time frames,
The “true” filter coefficients \( \alpha_{\tilde{n}} \) are estimated by performing another round of linear predictive analysis on \( \tilde{s}[n] \) for time frames \( \tilde{m} \) with length equal to the vibration period \( \frac{1}{f_v} \). Each resulting coefficient vector \( \alpha_{\tilde{m}} \) gives an estimate of a sequence of the “true” filter coefficients \( \alpha_{\tilde{n}} \). If \( \tilde{m}_i = \frac{T_S}{2} + iT_L \), and \( \tilde{m}_j = \frac{T_S}{2} + jT_L \), where \( T_S \) and \( T_L \) are the lengths of the short and long time frames respectively, then \( \alpha_{\tilde{m}_j} \approx \alpha_{\tilde{m}_i} \) for all \( i \) such that \( jT_L \leq \tilde{m}_i < (j + 1)T_L \). The final recovered speech is given by:

\[
\hat{s}_{\tilde{n}}[n] \approx \sum_{k=1}^{p} \hat{\alpha}_{\tilde{n}}(k)\hat{s}_{\tilde{n}}[n - k] + e_{\tilde{n}}[n] \tag{12}
\]

### 4. RESULTS

An example of vibrated speech before and after processing is shown in Figure 3. The restored speech is free of amplitude, frequency and formant modulations, and is perceptually clearer. To test the results numerically we ran instances of single vowels through a classifier. We used 10 vowel classes using MFCC’s computed over 6 ms time frames for feature vectors. For each speaker we trained an SVM on the other 5 speaker’s clean vowels (~30,000 time frames total), and tested on the target speaker’s clean (~6,000 time frames), vibrated, and corresponding de-vibrated vowels (~25,000 time frames). Note that this is a much smaller number of speakers than should normally be used for this problem, however this method was used simply as a proof of concept. The results are shown in Table 1. The average clean accuracy is low due to the small amount of variation in the training data but the overall trend is still present. There is a significant drop in classification accuracy from clean to vibrated, and a consistent improvement from vibrated to de-vibrated. While this is by no means an complete investigation of the effects of vibration on ASR accuracy, these results indicate that the proposed method does not hurt and may improve accuracy.

This method was also tested on data that was vibrated synthetically based upon the model proposed. The parameters are consistently estimated accurately within a small tolerance level, and the original clean speech is restored.

![Spectrograms of the vibrated phoneme [a] at 12 Hz, 0.7g (left) and restored phoneme (right).](image)

While a limitation of this method is that it requires a full vibration period, it does not pose a problem in practice. Phonemes sustained for less than one vibration period do not last long enough to be audibly affected by the vibration. In most cases, this method introduces a degree of noisiness similar to additive white noise (most apparent in male speakers) due to the final filter coefficient smoothing step. However the overall quality of the speech is better after processing despite the addition of noise.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a model that helps provide a better understanding of the different effects of sinusoidal whole-body vibration on speech signals, and a method to remove the effect that shows promise to improve intelligibility.

This work has focused on the ideal case where the applied vibration is sinusoidal at constant frequency and amplitude. However, in practice the vibration is not always this simple. A natural extension of this work is to broaden the vibration model and the proposed inversion method to handle different types of vibration, such as complex or random. Given the acceleration of the vibrating environment over time (as could be measured in real time with an accelerometer) the same basic model could apply such that the amplitude, frequency, and formants change proportional to the acceleration.
6. REFERENCES


